QUESTION FOR ANSWERSON THE DAY (BUT NOT TAKENUP)

**Construction of Sikshan Bhavan at Dharwar*

4 SRI V. M. GHADI (Haliyal).—Will the Minister of State for Primary and Secondary Education be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Joint Director of Public Instruction, Dharwar had collected some amount in the year 1971 from the teachers in connection with the construction of Shikshan Bhavan at Dharwar ;

Six

(*b*) if so, the amount collected ;

(*c*) the progress of construction of the Bhavan and its present stage ?

SMT. E. E. VAZ.— (Minister of State for Primary and Secondary Education)-

(*a*) The amount was collected by the Committee under the Chairmanship of the Deputy Commissioner only from those teachers who were willing to contribute towards the scheme. The contributions have also been made by the Public and Institutions of the Dharwar District The Joint Director of Public Instruction, Dharwar Division Dharwar was the convenor of the Committee.

(*b*) Amount collected is Rs, 3.5 lakhs.

(*c*) Tenders for construction of Vidya Bhavan have been called for by the Executive Engineer, Dharwar Division, and the agency is being fixed shortly.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

(*i*) *re: Lifting of a new born baby from Hospital in Magadi Road Maternity Home*

SRI S BANGARAPPA (Sorab).—Madam Speaker, I call the attention of the Minister for Health to the lifting of a newly born baby by a nurse from a hospital in Magadi Road Division.

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Health).—I wish to make the following statement.

As per the records maintained at the Magadi Road Maternity Home and preliminary enquiry held by the Health Staff of the Corporation for the City of Bangalore. Smt. Sharadamma, w/o Sri S. H. Ramaiah, residing at Dasarahalli was admitted to the Magadi Road

Maternity Home for delivery on 24-7-1974 at 5.00 a.m. with the admission No. 76. She was admitted by Smt Mary Ashirwadam, Mid wife, who also conducted the delivery. She delivered a male baby on 24-7-1974 at 6.15 a.m. and this was the 5th para (i.e. 6th delivery) The parturition register was signed by one Sri. S H. Hanumanthappa. On 27-7-1974 at 6.15 p.m. the patients was discharged without the knowledge of the Lady Doctor by Smt. J. Kanakarathna, Nurse, who was on day duty after taking the signature of one Sri R. Devaraj, who had signed in Tamil which has been erased and overwritten. At the of discharge, the baby was retained by the midwife, perhaps with the ulterior motive of getting the money from the party, since he did not pay at the time of discharge.

On 29-7-1974, at about 10-45 a.m. one Sri Narasimiah, who is said to be the father of Smt. Sharadamma, came to the Maternity Home along with his son Sri Muninarase Gowda and complained as to how the patient was discharged without his knowledge and what has happened to the newly born child.

Then the Lady Doctor summoned the concerned staff, and on enquiry it is said that Smt. Mary Ashirwadam, who was on duty on that day, had purchased the baby for Rs. 20/- from Smt. Sharadamma and handed over the child to Sri R. Devaraj.

Later, on the same day, i.e., on 29-7-1974, at about 3.15 p.m. the Lady Doctor intervened and Child was returned to sri Narasimiah who is said to be the father of Smt. Sharadamma, in the presence of Smt. Sharadamma.

The Commissioner, Bangalore Corporation has reported that as soon as the matter was brought to the notice of the concerned Department of the Corporation, Smt Mary Ashirwadam and Smt. Kanakaratha, Mid-wives, have been kept uudet suspension and the matter has been referred to the Legal Cell for enquiry. The enquiry is under progress. Further action will be taken by the Corporation after the receipt o enquiry Report.

A letter has also been addressed to the Jurisdictional Police to take up further investigation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬುಗಾರಪ್ಪ —ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಆಗತಾನೇ ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ಮಗುವನ್ನು ಒಬ್ಬ ನರ್ಸ್ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಬಂದಿರುವ ದೂರು ಅಷ್ಟು ಸಣ್ಣ ವಿಚಾರವಲ್ಲ. It is a big matter. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ತಪ್ಪಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಾದ ಬಿಗಿಯಾದ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಲೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದಂಥಾದ್ದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. It is serious matter. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆ ಕಾರ್ಪೋರೇಷನ್ನಿನ ಜ್ಯೂರಿಸ್ ಡಿಕ್ಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಇದು ಕಾರ್ಪೋರೇಷನ್ನಿನ ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಯಾವ ಹದ್ದುಬಸ್ತಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೂ ಸೇರಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಕಾರ್ಪೋರೇಷನ್ನಿನ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಅವರು ಪೋಲೀಸ್‌ಗೆ

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ)

ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮತ್ತು ತಾವು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸಲಹೆಯಂನ್ನೂ ಕೂಡ ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಮುಂದೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಆಗದೇ ಇರುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವರೀತಿ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೋ ಅದರಂತೆ ಆಗತ್ಯ ಇರುವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹೆಚ್. ಹನುಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದು ಸೇರುವವರು ಬಹಳ ನೊಂದ ಜೀವಿಗಳು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನವೀಯತೆ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಅನುಕಂಪವನ್ನಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಇರಬೇಕು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಕ್ಷಸೀ ಕೃತ್ಯವನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸಬಾರದು. ಮತ್ತು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಅದನ್ನು ಪೋಲೀಸ್‌ಗೆ ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಂದಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಒಂದು ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಒಂದು ಬಿಗಿಯಾದ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು, ಬರೇ ಸಸ್ಪೆನ್‌ಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿಡುವುದಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅವರನ್ನು ಮನೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದದಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಾರನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಲಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ದೇಶಕ್ಕೇ ಅಕ್ಷಮ್ಯ ಅಪರಾಧ, ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳು ಏನು ಇದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಮುಟ್ಟುಗೋಲು ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಬಿಗಿಯಾದ ಧೋರಣೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು, ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಇದು ಅಕ್ಷಮ್ಯ ಅಪರಾಧ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಇನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಷಮ್ಯ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಬೇರೆ ಇಲ್ಲ ಅದನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಯಾವರಿತಿ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಬೇಕು ಅನ್ನುವುದು ಕಾರ್ಪೋರೇಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು. ಇದರ ಜ್ಯೂರಿಸ್‌ಡಿಕ್ಷನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಇದರ ಆಡಳಿತ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು. ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಒಂದು ಬಿಗಿಯಾದ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

(ii) *Re*: Rise in prices of chemical Manure and its unsatisfactory distriction,

SRI K. H. HANUME GOWDA. (Hassan)·—I call the attention of the Minister for Agriculture and animal Husbandry to the rire in prices of chemical manures and to the distribution (unsatisfactory) of fertilisers under the card system.

SRI N. CHIKKE GOWDA. (Minister for Agriculture and Animal Husbandary). I has to state as follows.

As a result of the extrme scarcity in the supply of inorganic fertilisers, Government found that there were a lot of malpractices by the dealers in the distribution of fertilisers. While revi wing the policy for distribution of fertilisers, Government felt that they should have some sort of control in the system of distribution. Government had already brought the Pool fertilisers under a system of permits since 30th May 1972. After a detailed review of the entire situation, Government further felt that all non-poll fertilisers should also be brought under a system of permits. Accordingly, non-poll fertilisers were also brought under the purview of the District Fertilisers Committees from 16th August 1973. The programme of distribvtion district-wise was also drawn up so that each district gets the quantum of supplo basel on its agricultural production programme. The various manufactureres were also instructed to adhere to this supply programme so that all districts get an equitable supply of fertilisers Since fertilisers were in extreme short supply, Government also felt that the deese recommended by the State Departmens should be